

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

JAMES ROBINSON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES,

Defendants.

Civil Case Number:

CIVIL ACTION

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
AND
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff JAMES ROBINSON (hereinafter, “Plaintiff”), a Georgia resident, brings this class action complaint by and through the undersigned attorneys, against Defendant CREDIT BUREAU ASSOCIATES (hereinafter “Defendant” or “CBA”), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff’s counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff’s personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the FDCPA in 1977 in response to the “abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that “abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy.” *Id.* Congress concluded that “existing laws . . . [we]re inadequate to protect consumers,” and that “the effective collection of debts” does not require “misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices.” 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).
2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to “insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using

abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged.” *Id.* § 1692(e).

After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate, *id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

3. The rights and obligations established by section 15 U.S.C. § 1692g were considered by the Senate at the time of passage of the FDCPA to be a “significant feature” of the Act. See *S. Rep. No. 382, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 4, at 4, reprinted in 1977 U.S.C.C.A.N. 1695, 1696.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

NATURE OF THE ACTION

6. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Georgia consumers seeking redress for Defendant’s actions of using false, deceptive and misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
7. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 *et seq.* of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (“FDCPA”) which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in false, deceptive or misleading practices.
8. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of Cherokee County in the State of Georgia, and is a “Consumer” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
10. Defendant Credit Bureau Associates is a collection agency with its registered office located at 955 Greene Street, Augusta, Georgia 30901.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
12. Defendant is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

13. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
14. Some time prior to February 2, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to SAWNEE EMC.
15. The alleged SAWNEE EMC obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
16. The alleged SAWNEE EMC obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
17. SAWNEE EMC is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
18. Defendant contends that the SAWNEE EMC debt is past due.
19. Defendant is a company that uses mail, telephone or facsimile in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or that regularly collects or attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors.
20. SAWNEE EMC directly or through an intermediary contracted the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
21. On or about February 2, 2017, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a collection letter in an attempt to collect the alleged SAWNEE EMC debt. *See Exhibit A.*

22. The February 2, 2017 letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant as a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
23. The February 2, 2017 letter is a “communication” as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).
24. The Plaintiff received and read the Letter sometime after February 2, 2017.
25. The Letter stated in part:
- “Your account has been listed with CBA for immediate collection; with authority to record this item on your **personal credit file**. The Fair Credit Reporting Act states that this kind of information can remain in your **credit file for 7 years.**”
26. The Plaintiff, as would any least sophisticated consumer, read the above statement to mean that the Defendant would be reporting the alleged debt on his report for 7 years.
27. The Plaintiff, as would any least sophisticated consumer, read the above statement to mean that the Defendant was legally entitled to report the alleged debt for 7 years.
28. Pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, a credit reporting agency is permitted to report a delinquent debt for seven years from the date of delinquency. “The 7-year (credit reporting) period referred to in paragraphs (4) and (6) 6 of subsection (a) shall begin, with respect to any delinquent account that is placed for collection (internally or by referral to a third party, whichever is earlier), charged to profit and loss, or subjected to any similar action, upon the expiration of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the commencement of the delinquency which immediately preceded the collection activity, charge to profit and loss, or similar action” See 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(1).
29. Upon information and belief, the age of the alleged debt which Defendant was attempting to collect would have prevented it from being reported on his credit file for seven years.
30. Upon information and belief, there was no intention of recording the alleged debt on the Plaintiff’s credit file for 7 years.

31. Pursuant to the FDCPA, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
32. By falsely representing that the Plaintiff's account can remain on the Plaintiff's credit file for 7 years, the Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA and harmed the Plaintiff.
33. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to ensure compliance with the law.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

34. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following consumer class (the "Class") consisting of: a) All consumers who have an address in the State of Georgia b) who were sent a collection letter from the Defendant c) attempting to collect a consumer debt d) which states "this kind of information can remain in your credit file for 7 years" (e) where such action would not be legally permitted based on the current age of the alleged debt (f) which letter was sent on or after a date one year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date 21 days after the filing of this action.
35. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
36. Excluded from the Plaintiff Classes are the Defendants and all officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
37. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Classes, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The

principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as ***Exhibit A***, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

38. The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories.
39. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Classes defined in this complaint. The Plaintiffs have retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiffs nor their attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
40. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - (a) **Numerosity:** The Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the Plaintiff Classes defined above are so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
 - (b) **Common Questions Predominate:** Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Classes and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as ***Exhibit A***, violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
 - (c) **Typicality:** The Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiffs and all members of the Plaintiff Classes have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
 - (d) **Adequacy:** The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiffs have no interests that are averse to the absent class members. The Plaintiffs are committed to vigorously litigating this matter.

Plaintiffs have also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.

- (e) **Superiority:** A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

41. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Classes predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
42. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiffs may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e *et seq.*

43. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
44. Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the

Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to

15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

45. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, misleading and/or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

46. The Defendants violated said section in its letter to the Plaintiff by:

- a. Using a false, deceptive, and misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
- b. Threatening to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken in violation of § 1692e(5);
- c. Making a false representation or using deceptive means to collect a debt in violation of § 1692e(10).

47. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY.

48. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby request a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and undersigned as Class Counsel;

- (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
- (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 31, 2018

By: /s/ Misty Ann Oaks

Misty Ann Oaks, Esq.
The Oaks Firm
3315 Charleston Court
Decatur, Georgia 30034
Tel: (404) 725-5697
Fax: (775) 320-3695
Attorneys for Plaintiff

PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION TO BE FILED

Yitzchak Zelman, Esq.
MARCUS ZELMAN, LLC
1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101
Ocean, New Jersey 07712
Phone: (732) 695-3282
Facsimile: (732) 298-6256
Email: yzelman@marcuszelman.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

